



OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
AUSTIN

GROVER SELLERS
ATTORNEY GENERAL

Honorable Maureen Moore, Commissioner
Bureau of Labor Statistics
Austin, Texas

Dear Madam:

Opinion No. 0-7312
Re: Status of Goodwill In-
dustries, Inc., employees
under Texas Unemployment
Compensation Act.

We have your request for an opinion of this department as to whether or not certain " * * " handicapped persons would be employees of the Sani-Shield Company or would the Goodwill Industries be considered an independent contract. (sic) * * * under Texas laws * * * " Also at hand is a copy of a letter allegedly written by the President-Treasurer of the Sani-Shield Co., Inc., of Dallas, Texas, wherein certain data is presented regarding the relationship between the primary parties. We quote below applicable portions of that letter:

"About a month or six weeks ago a Miss Engle of the Goodwill Industry, Inc. of this city got in touch with us over the telephone inquiring whether or not we had any work that could be done by handicapped and partially handicapped persons in their home. As we are manufacturing a dress shield which is used by the laundry and cleaners a part of which is made by hand, some of it being folding and pasting we went into the matter with Miss Engle and a Mr. Cory and agreed to let them have the folding and pasting done by some of their handicapped persons, some to be done in their work room and some to be distributed to the person in their homes who could not come to their place and Miss Engle was to instruct them in their work and attend to the delivery and picking up from the homes. We just delivered the paper to their place on Elm St. and would pick up the finished products. For this we agreed to pay the Goodwill Industry on the basis of \$0.80 per m and they would pay their people 75¢ of it per lm. * * *

"* * * Now the question of the wage and hour law and who these parties are working for is unsettled. The Goodwill Industries are of the opinion these parties are their employees and if they are considered as such we

Honorable Maureen Moore - Page 2

would continue to work with them but if in fact they are employees of ours we would not feel justified in continuing as it would make us more than 3 employees and put us in another classification. We are interested in getting a final decision on this and will hold up our work until we hear from you * * * *"
(Emphasis ours)

Apparently this department is being called upon for a construction of Article 5221b-1, et seq., V.A.C.S. 1925, as amended. (Texas Unemployment Compensation Act). Section (f) (1) of 5221b-17 of that Act defines an "Employer" as "Any employing unit (which) ** has or had in employment eight (8) or more individuals * * *." The Sani-Shield concern, speaking through its President, states that it does not desire to become an "employer" within the meaning of the Act by having "more than 3 employees." The question is whether the proposed contractual relationship would make the individuals affiliated with Goodwill Industries employees of the Sani-Shield Co., within the meaning of the Act.

Article 5221b-17, V.A.C.S., 1925, as amended, provides definitions of words and phrases and promulgates the scope of the Act. Section (g), (1) thereof provides:

"'Employment' means any service performed prior to October 1, 1941, which was employment as defined in this Section prior to such date, and subject to the provisions of this Subsection, services performed on and after October 1, 1941, including services in interstate commerce, performed for wages or under any contract of hire, written or oral, express or implied, provided that any services performed by an individual for wages shall be deemed to be employment subject to this Act unless and until it is shown to the satisfaction of the Commission that such individual has been and will continue to be free from control or direction over the performance of such services both under his contract of service and in fact." (Emphasis ours)

In the case of Southern Surety Co. vs. Shoemaker, (Tex. Crim. App.) 24 S. W. (2d) 7, 8, Section 8 of the commission, speaking through Judge Ryan, holds:

Honorable Maureen Moore - Page 3

"* * "To constitute one an 'employee' in the meaning of the compensation laws, there must exist between the parties the relation of master and servant, in the broad sense of ultimate control and direction over the other. When one is employed by another, it may be generally said to be in the relation of servant to master, or as an independent contractor. This being true, the courts in nearly every instance have undertaken to determine the relation of the person employed by another by first deciding whether or not such person was an independent contractor. If he was found not to be such under all the facts and circumstances, then he was classed as a servant or employee."

The general rule employed by the courts to determine whether a contractual relationship between parties is one of master and servant or independent contractor is one primarily of control. The decisions generally hinge on the extent of control reserved to and exercised by the employer over the functions of the employee. If the control is general and the employer maintains the right of supervision and management of the employee's work, then the relationship is one of master and servant. On the other hand, when the employer's only concern is with the ultimate result of the work, leaving details of methods and means of accomplishment to the employee, then, the secondary party is held to be an independent contractor. See 2 C. J. 424 (Sec. 10) and cases cited therein. Also Cunningham vs. I. R. R. Co., 51 Tex. 503, 32 Am. Rep. 632 and Wallace vs. S. C. G. Co., 91 Tex. 18, 40 S. W. 399.

In the situation before us the Sanil-Shield Co., Inc., delivers the paper for the fabrication of their product to the Goodwill Industries, Inc., and "pick up the finished products." As consideration for this service the Sanil-Shield concern agrees to pay so much per unit count. The "folding and pasting" is done by the handicapped persons affiliated with Goodwill Industries, Inc. It would seem that Goodwill Industries employ whom they choose and accomplish the contemplated results without consulting the Sanil-Shield concern.

The contractor is paid so much per unit count of shields pasted and folded. Apparently, the handicapped persons work on such days and maintain such hours as they please and are under no

Honorable Maureen Moore - Page 4

obligation to fold and paste any given number of shields in any fixed space of time, and are under no supervision or control by the contractor.

When the facts as given to this department are examined in the light of the foregoing, it would appear that Goodwill Industries has reserved to itself such an independence of action in the execution of its proposed contract with San-Shield Co., Inc., as to clearly constitute itself an independent contractor.

You are therefore advised that upon the basis of the facts before us the Goodwill Industries, Inc., is an independent contractor and that the individuals accomplishing the work would be considered as employees of that concern and not those of the San-Shield Co., under the Texas Unemployment Compensation Act.

Trusting that this satisfactorily answers your question, we remain

Yours very truly

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

APPROVED AUG 13, 1947
R. H. Cocks

RECORDED
 REC'D. BY CLERK
 ATTORNEY GENERAL

By

Richard H. Cocks
 RICHARD H. COCKS
 Assistant

By . *Grundy Williams*
 GRUNDY WILLIAMS

